

1
2
3
4
5
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
8
9

10 MAXIMILIAN KLEIN, et al., on behalf of
11 themselves and all others similarly situated,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware
15 Corporation headquartered in California,

16 Defendant.
17

Case No. 3:20-cv-08570-JD

**[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
DEFENDANT META PLATFORM INC.'S
RESPONSE TO ADVERTISER
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CONSIDER
WHETHER ANOTHER PARTY'S
MATERIAL SHOULD BE SEALED
(DKT. 910)**

Judge: Hon. James Donato

1 Having considered Meta's Response to Advertiser Plaintiffs' Motion to Consider Whether
 2 Another Party's Material Should Be Sealed;

3 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

4 The following portions of Advertisers' Opposition to Omnibus Motion to Seal (Dkt. 910-
 5) may be filed under seal:

Dkt. No.	Portion of Document Sought to Be Sealed	Designating Party	Ruling
Advertisers' Opposition to Omnibus Motion to Seal (Dkt. 910-3)			
910-3	2:20-22, between "that restrict it from" and "Beyond age"	Meta (Acharya Decl. Row 1)	
910-3	4:17-18, between "analysis?:" and "Meta further"	Meta (Acharya Decl. Row 2)	
910-3	4:23-26, between "technical details" and "and competitive importance"	Meta (Acharya Decl. Row 3)	
910-3	5:5, between "iOS to" and "in order to"	Meta (Acharya Decl. Row 4)	

15
 16 Dated: _____, _____

17 Honorable James Donato
 18 United States District Court Judge
 19 Northern District of California